## FALL MENTORED YOUTH TURKEY

There were 412 Fall Mentored Youth Turkey licenses sold in South Dakota for the 2019 season. All were single tag licenses valid for either a tom or a hen. All mentors/hunters were surveyed and 273 responses (65%) were received. Approximately 75% of responding mentors/hunters used the Internet to respond.

The fall season ran from November 1 through January 31. Respondents reported hunting an average of 1.18 days, which projects to a total of 486 recreation days for the season.

An estimated 40 males were harvested along with 22 hens, a total of 60 turkeys (difference due to rounding error). The reported success rate for this season was 15%. The mean satisfaction score reported by hunters/mentors was 5.28 (1 representing "very dissatisfied" and 7 representing "very satisfied").

The four management units with the highest reported harvest were the Black Hills, Gregory, Mellette, and Marshall/Roberts.

## Summary comparison of the 2010-2019 Fall Mentored Youth Turkey seasons

	Licenses		HAI	Avg Days	Average		
YEAR	Sold	Males	Hens	Total	%Success	Hunted	Satisfaction
2010	423	88	68	156	37%	1.91	5.59
2011	442	65	51	116	26%	2.24	5.34
2012	529	89	61	149	28%	2.33	5.56
2013	520	57	35	92	18%	2.02	5.41
2014	390	46	29	75	19%	1.72	5.19
2015	370	58	39	98	21%	1.87	5.17
2016	429	62	21	84	20%	1.55	5.48
2017	453	60	22	83	18%	1.87	5.34
2018	521	68	27	96	18%	1.67	5.35
2019	412	40	22	60	15%	1.18	5.28

## 2019 Fall Mentored Youth Turkey Harvest Projections

	Last Revised:													
29-Jun-20 Licenses				Harvest Projections Ave									Mean	
			Resident		Response	Tag	Males		Hens			Total	Days	Satisfctn
	Unit/Type	Hunters	Avail.	Sold	Rate	Success	Adults	Jakes	Adults	Jennies	Unk	Harvest	Hunted	Score
	ST1-31	412	Unlim	412	65%	15%	29	11	14	3	5	60	1.18	5.28

## 2019 Fall Mentored Youth Turkey Harvest Distribution

Last Revised:													
29-Jun-20	Reported Harvest						Projected Harvest						
	Males Females			3		Males Females							
County	Toms	Jakes	Hens	Jennies	Unk Hens	Total	Toms	Jakes	Hens	Jennies	Unk Hens	Total	%
01A (Minnehaha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
02A (Pennington-East)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
06A (Brookings)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
07A (Yankton)	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	2.5%
08AB (Davison/Hanson)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
11A (Bennett)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
12A (Bon Homme)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
13A (Brule)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
15A (Butte/Lawrence)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
17A (Charles Mix/Douglas)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
19AB (Clay)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
20A (Corson)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
21A (Custer/Penn-Mid)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
22A (Day/Codington)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
23A (Deuel)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
24A (Dewey)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
27A (Fall River)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
29A (Grant)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
30A (Gregory)	3	0	1	0	0	4	6	0	2	0	0	7	12.0%
31A (Haakon)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
32A (Hamlin)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
35A (Harding)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
36A (Hughes)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
37A (Hutchinson)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
39A (Jackson)	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	2.5%
40A (Jerauld)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
41A (Jones)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
44AB (Lincoln)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
45A (Lyman)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
48A (Marshall/Roberts)	3	2	1	0	0	6	6	3	2	0	0	10	17.0%
49A (Meade/Pennington)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
50A (Mellette)	1	2	1	0	0	4	2	3	2	0	0	6	10.7%
52A (Moody)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
53A (Perkins)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
56A (Sanborn)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
58A (Stanley)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
60A (Tripp)	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	3.2%
61A (Turner)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
62AB (Union)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
65A (Shannon)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
67A (Todd)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
BH1 (Black Hills)	7	3	4	0	3	17	13	5	6	0	5	28	47.2%
Unknown	4	0	0	2	0	6	13	- 3	-0	- 0	3	20	+1.2/0
	19	7	9	2	3	40	29	11	14	3	5	60	
TOTALS	19	'	9	2	3	40	29	11	14	3	э	00	

Any by unit projected harvest values will differ from by statewide projected harvest values due to incorrect sampling proportions within management units. Use these figures for approximations only!